

AUG 08 2002

#13  
Requested for  
Return  
2/6  
8/13/02

Attorney Docket No: 7175/65430  
PATENT

**Special Handling  
Request for Reconsideration  
After Final Rejection**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Kip Van Steenburg

Serial No.: 09/660,433

Art Unit: 3673

Filed: September 7, 2000

Examiner: Michael Trettel

For: LEG HOLDER SYSTEM FOR SIMULTANEOUS POSITIONING IN THE  
ABDUCTION AND LITHOTOMY DIMENSIONS

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

RECEIVED  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600  
02 AUG - 8 AM 10: 31

(Box Non-Fee Amendment)  
Honorable Assistant Commissioner for  
Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of May 21, 2002, reconsideration of the recapture rejections is requested for the reasons presented below.

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 09 2002  
**GROUP 3600**

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the rejection of Claims 14-100 under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broaden claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the reissue is based is requested. While it is true that certain of the claims delete limitations that were added to gain allowance, the claims also include additional subject matter narrowing the claims in the same general area. The criteria to be applied is whether or not the applicant is trying to re prosecute by way of reissue that which he gave up. As referenced in the Pannu case (Pannu et al. v. Storz Instruments Inc., 59 USPQ 2<sup>nd</sup> 1597 - copy attached), the criteria and analysis in determining whether or not recapture occurs can